REGULAR MEETING OF THE OKLAHOMA WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

APPEALS

Friday, July 15, 2022 9:00 a.m. Commission Chambers 1915 N. Stiles Ave. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma <u>www.wcc.ok.gov</u>

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDERCommission's Chair, Chairman Russell

ROLL CALL Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly

BUSINESS Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE BY CHAIRMAN

THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION AND ACTION, IF ANY, DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE COMMISSION

A. MINUTES:

• The drafted Minutes of the Regular Appeals Meeting of June 24, 2022 will be considered for approval.

B. <u>Appeal Hearings before the Commission En Banc from Orders Issued by the</u> <u>Commission's Administrative Law Judges</u>

The hearings before the Commission en banc will be conducted pursuant to the authority and jurisdiction of the Administrative Workers' Compensation Act, Title 85A O.S. § 1 et seq., and the Workers' Compensation Commission's Permanent Rules, OAC 810. The Commissioners may recess for lunch.

The procedure for the hearings before the Commission en banc is as follows:

- Each side will be allowed ten (10) minutes for oral arguments.
- The appellant will present first. Appellant may divide his or her ten minutes for argument, allowing a portion of that time for rebuttal.
- Both parties are subject to questioning by Commissioners.

1. <u>Rick Anderson v. MITF, File #CM3F-2018-02477Y</u>

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. Robert A. Flynn is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Stefan Wenzel is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. Kevin Etchison v. City of Muskogee (OWN RISK), File #CM3-2019-05727K

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Kathryn Black is the attorney of record for the Claimant. Eric L. Tabor and Michael A. Finerty are the attorneys of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. Jackie Kinnard v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-06693A

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. Sidney A. Musser Jr. and Harry J. Kouri III are the attorneys of record for the Claimant. Stefan Wenzel is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

4. John Mazzia v. Mid Con Carriers Corp. and National American Insurance Co., File #CM3-2020-04654A

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. James G. Devinney is the attorney of record for the Claimant and W. Jeffrey Dasovich is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

5. <u>Christopher Brewer v. Knowles Enterprises LLC and The Insurance Co. of the State</u> <u>of Pennsylvania</u>

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. Charles Simons is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Connie M. Wolfe is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

6. Eric Reddick v. City of Enid (OWN RISK), File #CM3-2021-00086F

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. Jack G. Zurawik and Micah Felton are the attorneys of record for the Claimant. Daniel C. Blanchard is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

7. Ronald Wilson v. City of Tulsa (OWN RISK #10435), File #CM3-2021-05124J

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. Jack G. Zurawik is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Jordan S. Ensley is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the

Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

C. <u>Commission Consideration of Adoption of Final Order in the Following Cases:</u>

1. <u>Earl Appleberry v. Western Flyer Express LLC and United States Fire Insurance</u> <u>Co., File #CM3-2019-07029Q</u>

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Timothy Kent appeared for the Claimant and Travis A. Fulkerson appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on November 19, 2021. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission ordered additional briefing and continued the matter.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action, adopting an order as proposed or as modified at the hearing, or continuing the matter.

2. John Rosson v. Roundtree Automotive Group LLC and State National Insurance Co., File #CM3-2019-03668K

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Michael R. Green appeared for the Claimant. Blake Farris appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on December 17, 2021. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action, adopting an order as proposed or as modified at the hearing, or continuing the matter.

3. <u>Rita Morales v. Walmart Stores Inc. and Walmart Associates Inc. (OWN RISK), File</u> <u>#CM3-2015-07958X</u>

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Susan H. Jones appeared for the Claimant and Jordan S. Ensley appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on April 29, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action, adopting an order as proposed or as modified at the hearing, or continuing the matter.

4. Julian Slater v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-00356F

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Bob Burke appeared for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Chairman Russell moved to take preliminary action to affirm the decision of the Administrative Law Judge's Order and instructed the law clerk or other staff member to draft a proposed order with finding of facts and conclusions of law, to be considered for continued action at a future meeting.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

5. <u>Raymond Miller v. La Quinta Inn, Global Core Stillwater LLC, and Employers</u> <u>Preferred Insurance Co. (FKA AMCOMP PREFERRED), File #CM3-2020-00616F</u>

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Michael R. Green appeared for the Claimant and Kelley Bodell appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

6. Wanda Gibby v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-07638F

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. J. Kord Hammert appeared for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

7. Joseph Handley v. Nationwide Fixture Installation Inc. and Hanover American Insurance Co., File #CM3-2019-00808J

Both parties filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Darrel Paul appeared for the Claimant and Rose M. Sloan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on June 24, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commissioner Biggs moved to take preliminary action to affirm in-part, in regards to consequential injury, and remand in-part, in regards to intervening accident, and remand back for determination of medical treatment and instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

ADJOURNMENT......Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly